arXiv:gr-qc/9810071v2 14 Nov 1998

Stability of degenerate Cauchy horizons in black hole spacetimes

Rong-Gen Cai
Center for Theoretical Physics, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, Korea

In the multihorizon black hole spacetimes, it is possible that there are degenerate Cauchy horizons with vanishing surface gravities. We investigate the stability of the degenerate Cauchy horizon in black hole spacetimes. Despite the asymptotic behavior of spacetimes (flat, anti-de Sitter, or de Sitter), we find that the Cauchy horizon is stable against the classical perturbations, but unstable quantum mechanically.

PACS numbers: 04.20.Dw, 04.70.Bw, 04.62.+v

The stability of Cauchy horizon (CH) in black hole spacetimes has been a subject of great interest during the last few years. This issue is closely relevant to the Penrose's cosmic censorship [1], which states [2]: the evolution of generic initial conditions will always produce a globally hyperbolic spacetime. The CH is the boundary of the Cauchy development of a maximal Cauchy surface. The inner horizon of the Reissner-Nordström (RN) black holes is a familiar example of CH's in black hole spacetimes.

Beyond the CH the predictability of general relativity is lost. Therefore, the strong cosmic censorship will be violated in the RN black hole spacetimes if the CH is stable. Indeed the CH of the RN black holes has been proved to be unstable in the linear perturbations [3], nonlinear perturbations [4], and exact backreaction calculations [5], and a scalar curvature singularity is formed there. The instability of the CH evades the loss-of-predictability problem and the scalar curvature singularity prevents the further evolution of the spacetime beyond the CH. In this sense, the strong cosmic censorship still holds. The CH of the Kerr black holes is also unstable [6].

The "stable" CH emerges when the RN black holes are embedded in de Sitter space. In this case, except for the CH and the event horizon of the black holes, a cosmological horizon may appear. When $\kappa_i \le \kappa_c$, the CH is proven to be classically stable [7], where κ_i and κ_c represent the surface gravities of the CH and the cosmological horizon, respectively. The analysis based on the linear perturbation gives similar property for the CH in the Kerr-de Sitter black holes [8]. Using a two-dimensional RN-de Sitter model, Marković and Poisson [9] have argued that the classical "stable" CH is still quantum-mechanically unstable, except for the set of measure zero spacetimes for which $\kappa_i = \kappa_c$, by calculating the renormalized expectation value of the stress-energy tensor associated with a conformal scalar field propagating in the two-dimensional background.

More recently, Brady, Moss, and Myers [2] have made great progress in the understanding of classical stability of the CH for the RN-de Sitter spacetimes. They have noticed that, except for the well-known generic radiation tail falling off exponentially, there is an additional influx resulting from outgoing modes due to the backscattering off the spacetime curvature. Although the latter is in the subleading order, it produces a divergent influx at the CH so that the CH becomes unstable. Thus, the so-called counter-example of the strong cosmic censorship is completely disproved. Consequently, an interesting question arises whether a stable CH exists in the black hole spacetimes as a counter-example of the strong cosmic censorship, at least classically. In this paper we present such an example in which the black hole has a degenerate CH.

Let's begin our discussion by introducing two exact black hole solutions possessing multihorizon structures. The first one is a two-dimensional dilaton black hole, which comes from the following action

$$S = \int d^2x\sqrt{-g}\; e^{-2\phi}\left[R + 2(\nabla\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + 2\; e^{2\phi} + \sum_{n=2}^{k} a_n e^{2n\phi}\right], \tag{1}$$

where R denotes the curvature scalar, $F_{\mu\nu}$ is the Maxwell field and a_n are constants. The dilaton potential may arise in the slop expansion of some string theories. The black hole solution is [10]

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -f(r)\; dt^2 + f^{-1}(r)\; dr^2, \qquad (2)\\ \phi(r) &= -\ln\; r,\\ F_{tr} &= q/r^2,\\ f(r) &= [\mathcal{M}(r) - m]/r, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}(r) = r + \frac{q^2}{4r} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=2}^{k}\frac{a_n}{(2n-3)r^{2n-3}},$$

m and q are the mass and charge of the black hole. The solution (2) is asymptotically flat and has a singularity at $r = 0$. If a_n are arbitrary, in principle the black hole (2) may have up to $2n - 2$ horizons, which are determined by the equation $f(r) = 0$. When the number of horizons is odd, the singularity is spacelike, as that in the Schwarzschild black hole. It is timelike as the number of horizons is even, as that of the RN black hole. Other multihorizon dilaton black holes can also be constructed in two dimensions.

The multihorizon black holes also appear in the higher-derivative gravity theories. The higher-derivative interactions always occur in the semiclassical quantum gravity and also arise in the effective low-energy actions of string theories. Among the higher-derivative gravity theories, the Lovelock gravity is of a lot of interest, because it has some attractive features. For instance, the resulting equations of motion contain no more than second derivatives of the metric and it has been proven to be free of ghosts when expanding about the flat space, evading any problems with unitarity. The Lovelock Lagrangian is the sum of dimensionally extended Euler densities

$$\mathcal{L}=\sum_{n=0}^{k} c_n \mathcal{L}_n, \tag{3}$$

where c_n are arbitrary constants and $\mathcal{L}_n$ is the Euler density of a $2n$-dimensional manifold

$$\mathcal{L}_n = 2^{-n}\delta^{a_1b_1\cdots a_nb_n}_{c_1d_1\cdots c_nd_n} R^{c_1d_1}{}_{a_1b_1}\cdots R^{c_nd_n}{}_{a_nb_n}. \tag{4}$$

Here the generalized delta function is totally antisymmetric in both sets of indices. $\mathcal{L}_0 = 1$ and hence, c_0 is proportional to the cosmological constant. $\mathcal{L}_1$ gives us the Einstein term and $\mathcal{L}_2$ is just the Gauss-Bonnet term. To recover the result of general relativity, one usually sets $c_1 > 0$. The static, spherically symmetric black hole solutions in (3) have been found [11]

$$ds^2 = -f(r)\ dt^2 + f^{-1}(r)\ dr^2 + r^2\ d\Omega^2_{D-2}, \tag{5}$$

where

$$f(r) = 1 - r^2 F(r),$$

and $F(r)$ is determined by solving for the real roots of the following kth-order polynomial equation

$$P(F) = \sum_{n=0}^{k} \hat{c}_n F^n = m/r^{D-1}.$$

Here m is an integration constant proportional to the mass of the solution, and the coefficients $\hat{c}_n$ are

$$\hat{c}_0 = \frac{c_0}{c_1}\frac{1}{(D-1)(D-2)}, \quad \hat{c}_1 = 1,$$

$$\hat{c}_n = \frac{c_n}{c_1}\prod_{i=3}^{2n}(D-i) \quad \text{for} \quad n > 1.$$

Myers and Simon [11] analyzed this solution in some detail. This solution may be asymptotically flat, de Sitter, or anti-de Sitter, depending on the parameters in the solution. The maximum number of horizons are computed and the nature of singularity at $r = 0$ is given in the different classes divided according to the parameters (for details see [11]). There they also drawn a schematic Penrose diagram for the multihorizon black holes. Here it should be pointed out that except the singularity at $r = 0$, there may exist other singularities at the finite radii hidden by the horizons.

Like the extremal black holes, by adjusting the parameters in solutions (2) and (5), it is possible that these black hole solutions have degenerate CH's. Here we refer to the inner horizon nearest to the event horizon of black holes as the CH. The term "degenerate" means that the equation $f(r) = 0$ has some degenerate roots at the CH. Now we discuss the stability of the degenerate CH. For simplicity, we consider the static, spherically symmetric black hole with such a degenerate CH

$$ds^2 = -f(r)dt^2 + f^{-1}(r)dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2. \tag{6}$$

The black hole solution is assumed to may be asymptotically flat, anti-de Sitter, or de Sitter. In the asymptotically de Sitter case, the cosmological horizon is assumed to exist. The concrete expression of the metric function $f(r)$, the dimensionality of spacetime, and the angular part are unimportant to our discussion. Set the equation, $f(r) = 0$, has $d(\geq 2)$ degenerate roots at the CH and a timelike singularity at $r = 0$ is hidden behind the horizons of the black hole.

By introducing the null coordinates $v_\pm = t \pm r_*$, where $r_* = \int f^{-1}(r)dr$, the black hole spacetime (6) can be rewritten as

$$ds^2 = -f(r)dv_- dv_+ + r^2 d\Omega^2, \tag{7}$$

in which $v_+ = \infty$ at the CH and the cosmological horizon (if any) and $v_- = \infty$ at the event horizon of the black hole. Using the Kruskal-like coordinates, one can gain the regular coordinates at these horizons. To investigate the stability of the CH, we use the perturbation method [2]. Consider the evolution of a perturbation field denoted by Φ. If the perturbation field produces a divergent flux at the CH measured by a timelike observer, the CH then is unstable due to the backreaction of the divergent flux. Otherwise, the CH is stable. For the perturbation field Φ, the resulting flux measured by any observer is proportional to the square of the amplitude

$$\mathcal{F} = \Phi_{,\alpha}u^\alpha, \tag{8}$$

where u^α is the four-velocity of the observer. For further discussion on the interaction between the perturbation field and the observer see [12]. In the general perturbation analysis it has been found that there is a so-called radiation tail at late times [13], which is in the leading order and blueshifted at CH's. In the RN black holes, it is just the infinite blueshifted radiation tail that causes the CH to be unstable and be converted into a curvature singularity.

For a radially free-infalling observer falling into the black holes, Brady, Moss, and Myers [2] have noticed that for a regular and reasonable initial conditions of the perturbations, the observer should see a finite flux of radiation at the event horizon of black holes as well, which implies that the perturbation field has the behavior, $\Phi_{,v_-} \sim e^{-\kappa_e v_-}$, near the event horizon, which fixes the initial conditions for the outgoing modes. Here κ_e

denotes the surface gravity of the event horizon. Due to the backscattering off the spacetime curvature, the outgoing modes result in an additional influx along the CH. The perturbation field then has an additional term [2]

$$\Phi \sim e^{-\kappa_e v_+}, \tag{9}$$

near the CH. This term is in the subleading order, but it plays a crucial role in the instability of the CH in the RN-de Sitter black holes under the classical perturbations.

We are now in a position to discuss the stability of the degenerate CH in the black hole spacetimes (6). We first discuss the case in which the black hole is asymptotically flat.

(i) *In the asymptotically flat case.* Both the analytic calculations [13] and the numerical studies of collapse [14] give the perturbation field has a inverse power-law tail at late times. That is Φ decays according to

$$\Phi \sim v_+^{-p}, \tag{10}$$

where p is a constant and is related to the multipole moment $l(\geq s)$ of the perturbation field with spin s. Usually it has the relation $p = 2l + 2 + \gamma$, where γ is a constant, which is 0 if there is an initially static perturbation of the black holes and 1 for other cases. Combining with (9), in this case, we have the amplitude of the influx measured by the radially free-infalling observer

$$\mathcal{F}_1 \sim v_+^{1+1/d} \left(v_+^{-p-1} + \beta \ e^{-\kappa_e v_+} \right), \tag{11}$$

near the CH, where β is a slowly varying function and is a finite constant as the CH is approached. The second term in (11) is finite as $v_+ \to \infty$. The first term is also finite if $p \geq 1/d$. In fact the latter alway holds because of $p \geq 2$. This finiteness of the influx indicates that the degenerate CH is stable under the classical perturbations.

(ii) *In the asymptotically anti-de Sitter case.* In this case, usually one expects that the radiation tail is also inverse power-law as in the case of the asymptotically flat black hole spacetimes. However, recently Chan and Mann [15] found that the decay of the perturbation field is complicated and it may be neither the inverse power-law nor exponential falloff at late times. We set the radiation tail having the behavior $\Phi_{,v_+} \sim g(v_+)$. Near the CH, the amplitude then is

$$\mathcal{F}_2 \sim v_+^{1+1/d} \left(g(v_+) + \beta \ e^{-\kappa_e v_+} \right). \tag{12}$$

Note that the approximate falloff of the maximal peak is weakly exponential and the the perturbation always falls off faster than that of the inverse power-law (10) [15]. Therefore the influx is also finite at the CH for the asymptotically anti-de Sitter black holes, which implies that the degenerate CH is also stable against the classical perturbations.

(iii) *In the asymptotically de Sitter case.* In this case, a cosmological horizon with surface gravity κ_c is present. When crosses the cosmological horizon, the infalling observer should measure a finite flux at the cosmological horizon. It requires that the perturbation field has the behavior

$$\Phi \sim e^{-\kappa_c v_+}, \tag{13}$$

which fixes the initial conditions of ingoing modes of the perturbations. Recent numerical studies of perturbations [16] also give the same behavior as (13). Considering (9) and (13), we have

$$\mathcal{F}_3 \sim v_+^{1+1/d} \left(e^{-\kappa_c v_+} + \beta \ e^{-\kappa_e v_+} \right). \tag{14}$$

Once again, the influx measured by the infalling observer is finite at the CH in this case. Thus, the degenerate CH of black hole spacetimes is always stable under the classical perturbations, despite the asymptotic behavior of the black holes. When the CH is nondegenerate, that is the CH has a nonvanishing surface gravity κ_i, the prefactor $v_+^{1+1/d}$ in (11), (12) and (14) is replaced by $e^{\kappa_i v_+}$. The influxes then become divergent at the CH and hence the nondegenerate CH is classical unstable.

For the classical stable degenerate CH, it is natural to ask whether it is still stable after taking into account quantum fluctuations. However, to obtain an explicit expression of the vacuum expectation value $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle$ associated with quantum fields is rather difficult in the physical spacetimes. To see the behavior of quantum fluctuations, it is instructive to discuss the problem in the two-dimensional reduction model, $ds^2 = -f(r) dv_- dv_+$, in which the calculation of the expectation value $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle$ can be carried out exactly. Consider a conformal scalar field propagating in the two-dimensional background. Its renormalized expectation value of the stress-energy tensor can be expressed as [17]

$$\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle = \Theta_{\mu\nu} + X_{\mu\nu} - (48\pi)^{-1} R g_{\mu\nu}, \tag{15}$$

where $X_{\mu\nu}$ depends on the choice of vacuum states, and $\Theta_{\mu\nu}$ is

$$\Theta_{v_+ v_+} = (192\pi)^{-1} (2 f f'' - f'^2),$$

$$\Theta_{v_- v_-} = \Theta_{v_+ v_+}, \quad \Theta_{v_- v_+} = \Theta_{v_+ v_-} = 0.$$

Here a prime denotes the derivative with respect to r.

To get the expectation value $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle$ of the quantum fluctuations, we have to first specify an appropriate vacuum. When the black hole is asymptotically flat, there are two well-known vacuum states which are appropriate for our purpose. One is the Unruh vacuum state, which can correctly describe the quantum fields in the spacetimes of black holes formed by gravitational collapse. The other is the Israel-Hawking-Hartle vacuum state, which can describe black holes in thermal equilibrium with the surrounding thermal radiations. The expectation value $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle$ is finite at the event horizon of black holes for the two vacuum states. However, the energy density of the quantum fluctuations measured by the infalling observer has the following behavior [10]

$$\rho_1 \sim v_+^{2+2/d}, \tag{16}$$

near the CH. Obviously, this density is divergent at the CH, which implies that the degenerate CH is unstable under the quantum fluctuations.

When the black hole is asymptotically anti-de Sitter, the black hole spacetime is not globally hyperbolic. There is a timelike boundary at spatial infinity. To have a well-posed Cauchy problem, one has to impose boundary conditions for quantum fields at spatial infinity $r=\infty$. There exist three kinds of appropriately boundary conditions: Dirichlet, Neumann, and Robin conditions [18]. Mode functions satisfying different boundary conditions can be defined. The renormalized expectation value $\langle T_{\mu\nu}\rangle$ is still given by (15). However, the Unruh vacuum is equivalent to the Israel-Hawking-Hartle one because of the boundary conditions. Therefore, $\langle T_{\mu\nu}\rangle$ is same in the two vacuum states. We find that the energy density of the quantum fluctuations, measured by the free-infalling observer, is

$$\rho_2 \sim v_+^{2+2/d}, \tag{17}$$

near the CH, which has the same behavior as the one in the asymptotically flat spacetime (16).

When the black hole spacetime is asymptotically de Sitter, the standard vacuum states, Unruh and Israel-Hawking-Hartle states, are both inapplicable. An appropriate vacuum is the Marković-Unruch state [19], in which the stress-energy tensor is well behaved at the black hole horizon and the cosmological horizon. In this vacuum state, once again, we find that the energy density is divergent at the CH as [9]

$$\rho_3 \sim v_+^{2+2/d}. \tag{18}$$

Although we have used different vacuum states for computing the density density of quantum fluctuations in the black hole spacetimes possessing different asymptotic behaviors, it is easy to see from (16), (17) and (18) that the energy densities, measured by the free-infalling observer, have same divergent behavior at the CHs. We therefore expect that the degenerate CH is unstable after taking into account the quantum fluctuations, although the two-dimensional calculations may have significant differences from those in four dimensions.

In summary we have presented one kind of black holes with degenerate CH's, which have vanishing surface gravities there. Despite the asymptotic behaviors of black holes (flat, anti-de Sitter, or de Sitter), the degenerate CH is always stable against the classical perturbations, but quantum-mechanically unstable. Thus we give an example of classical stable CH in black hole spacetimes. The degenerate CH may occur in some theoretical models, as introduced before, however, it remains open whether the black holes with the degenerate CH can be formed or not in the realistic circumstances. We hope that our discussion is of some significances, at least in principle.

This work was supported by the KOSEF through the CTP at Seoul National University.

---

[1] R. Penrose in *General Relativity, an Einstein Centenary Survey*, eds. S. W. Hawking and W. Israel (Cambridge University Press, 1979).
[2] P. R. Brady, I. G. Moss, and R. C. Myers, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 3422 (1998).
[3] R. A. Matzner, N. Zamorano, and V. D. Sandberg, Phys. Rev. D **19**, 2821 (1979); S. Chandrasekhar and J. Hartle, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. **A384**, 301 (1982).
[4] P. R. Brady and J. D. Smith, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 1256 (1995); L. M. Burko, *ibid.*, **79**, 4958 (1997); S. Hod and T. Piran, *ibid.* **81**, 1554 (1998).
[5] W. A. Hiscock, Phys. Lett. **83A**, 1110 (1981); E. Poisson and W. Israel, Phys. Rev. D **41**, 1796 (1990); A. Ori, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 789 (1991).
[6] A. Ori, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 2117 (1992); P. R. Brady and C. M. Chambers, Phys. Rev. D **51**, 4177 (1995); D. A. Konkowski and T. M. Helliwell, *ibid.* D **50**, 841 (1994); A. Bonanno, *ibid.*, D **53**, 7373 (1996).
[7] F. Mellor and I. G. Moss, Phys. Rev. D **41**, 403 (1990); Class. Quantum Gravi. **9**, L43 (1992); P. R. Brady and E. Poisson, *ibid.* **9**, 121 (1992); P. R. Brady, D. Nunez, and S. Sinha, Phys. Rev. D **47**, 4239 (1993); R. G. Cai and R. K. Su, *ibid.* D **52**, 666 (1995).
[8] C. M. Chambers and I. G. Moss, Class. Quantum Grav. **11**, 1034 (1994).
[9] D. Marković and E. Poisson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 1280 (1995).
[10] R. G. Cai, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 5698 (1996); J. S. F. Chan and R. B. Mann, *ibid.* D **50**, 7376 (1994).
[11] R. C. Myers and J. Z. Simon, Phys. Rev. D **38**, 2434 (1988); J. T. Wheeler, Nucl. Phys. **B273**, 732 (1986).
[12] L. M. Burko and A. Ori, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**,1064 (1995).
[13] R. H. Price, Phys. Rev. D **5**, 2419; 2439 (1972).
[14] C. Gundlach , R. H. Price, and J. Pullin, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 883; 890 (1994); L. M. Burko and A. Ori, *ibid.*, D **56**, 7820 (1997).
[15] J. S. F. Chan and R. B. Mann, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 7546 (1997).
[16] P. R. Brady, C. M. Chambers, W. Krivan, and P. Lagune, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 7538 (1997).
[17] N. D. Birrell and P. C. W. Davies, *Quantum Fields in Curved space* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1982).
[18] S. J. Avis, C. J. Isham, and D. Storey, Phys. Rev. D **18**, 3565 (1978); D. Klemm and L. Vanzo, gr-qc/9803061.
[19] D. Marković and W. G. Unruh, Phys. Rev. D **43**, 332 (1991); R. Balbinot and R. Bergamini, *ibid.* D **40**, 372

(1989).